

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **UNITED STATES** Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/120,806 07/23/98 KIKUSHIMA 101151 **EXAMINER** MM91/0319 OLIFF AND BERRIDGE THITTH M PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** P 0 B0X 19928 ALEXANDRIA VA 22320

DATE MAILED:

03/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s) Y/HUSh/ma
Office Action Summary	Examinar Broke	Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address		
Period for Response		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). 		
Status $2-14-01$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims Sclaim(s) Of the above claim(s) 30-37 is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Of the above claim(s) 30-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s) Is/are allowed.		
\times Claim(s) $1-6$, 8 , $10-13$ and $15-22$ is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). 		
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	nterview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□N	otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other
Office Action Summary		

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 8 and 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Nagai.

Note Nagai fig. 13-A clearly teaches the exact structure claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-13 and 15-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagai.

Nagai (fig. 13-A) teaches the claimed structure except for some specific dimensions and coatings on the edge of the opening and the cover attached to close the opening. However, providing brazing and/or solder to form a hermetic seal is well known per se, and using these conventional sealing means for the opening cover of Nagai would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Budd/nt

MARN O BUDD PRIMARY FXAMINER ART UKKE 212